Portable EXPath Extension Functions

Adam Retter

adam@evolvedbinary.com

@adamretter



Adam Retter

Consultant

- Scala / Java
- Concurrency
- XQuery, XSLT

Open Source Hacker

- Predominantly NoSQL Database Internals
- e.g. eXist, RocksDB, Shadoop (Hadoop M/R framework)
- W3C Invited Expert for XQuery WG
- Author of the "eXist" book for O'Reilly
- XML Summer School Faculty (13/09/15)



A talk about incompatibility...



Playing with 3 sizes of lego

After trying to fit together 3 different incompatible sizes of lego Léon went into meltdown, not quite grasping the problem.

fave

comments

(i) (S) O Some rights reserved

TODO...

- 1. The Portability Problem
- 2. Previous Efforts
- **3. Processor Varieties**
- 4. Our Solution



Context

• XPDL

- XPath Derived Language e.g. XQuery/XSLT/XProc/XForms
- Typically uses F+O as Standard Library

• Assumption: We want to write apps in XPDLs

- Less code/impedance-mismatch
 - ~67% reduction in LoC vs Java¹
- Serve/Process the Web
- Process structure/semi-structured data
- Process mixed-content



¹ Developing an Enterprise Web Application in XQuery http://download.28msec.com/sausalito/technical_reading/enterprise_webapps.pdf

The Portability Problem

XPDLs are typically specified as open standards

...however...

Applications written in XPDLs are rarely useable across implementations

EVOLVED BINARY

Vendor Extensions are EVIL!

- Seem like a good idea at the time
 - Easy/Quick to get something done

Many Types

- Syntax extensions
 - e.g xquery "1.0-ml";
- Data Type Extensions
 - e.g xs:binary-document
- Deviation from Standards
 - e.g fn:matches(\$input*, \$pattern)
- Indexes, Triggers, etc.
- Extension Functions



XPDL Extension Functions

• Our focus, due to their impact

- Disguised by standard function call interface
 - FunctionCall ::= EQName ArgumentList
- Distributed throughout an XPDL code-base

• XPDL Extension Functions

- Typically implemented in lower-level language
 - C / C++ / Java / .NET etc.
- Vendor/Processor specific
 - Consistent across processor versions?
- EXPath
 - Requires reimplementation for <u>every</u> processor
 - Not supported by all processors



Impact of Extension Functions





Impact of Extension Functions



EVOLVED BINARY

Vendor Extensions ultimately:

- Introduce Hurdles to Portability
- Restrict user freedom
 - Vendor lock-in
 - Lesson the impact of frameworks

• Fragment the XPDL community

- Create knowledge/skills silos
- Reduce code-sharing
- Limit code-reuse
- Reduce collaboration
- XPDL Processor specific forks of XPDL apps



Other Efforts to Improve Portability

• XSLT 1.1 (2000)

- Stated primary goal " improve stylesheet portability"
- Adds xsl:script for extension functions
- Highly contentious. <u>Abandoned!</u>

• EXSLT (2001)

- Extended the XSLT 1.0 Standard Library
- Just a Specification
- Each vendor implemented for own processor



Other Efforts to Improve Portability

• FunctX (2006)

- A Library of >150 useful common functions
- Implementations in both XQuery and XSLT

• EXQuery (2008)

- Just one specification to date: RESTXQ
- Common implementation in Java

• EXPath (2009)

- Standards for extension functions
- Some common implementations in Java



Lessons Learnt

- Standards are nice, but require implementations
 - Really need >50% of market-share to implement
- Vendors are lazy/limited
 - Standards are often retrospective!
- Implementation Type Mapping (XSLT 1.1)
 - Showed great promise for integration
 - Must be implementation language agnostic
- No single language for low-level implementation
 - Won't be accepted by developers
 - Won't be accepted by vendors



Lessons Learnt

• XPDL Processors are surprisingly similar!



EVOLVED BINARY

Processor Varieties

- We want to support XPDL Extension Functions
 - For all XPDL processors
 - What XPDL procesor implementations exist?



Our Requirements

• Focus on Extension Function Implementation

- Standardisation is alive in W3C and EXPath
- Ideally implement just once (ever!)
- Ideally <u>compatible</u> with any XPDL processor

• Polyglot

- Must support at least Java and C++ implementations
- Ideally also C for integration with other languages

• Specify an Implementation Type Mapping

 XDM types to/from XPDL processor implementation language types



Our Solution

Source-to-source Compilation

- Using the Haxe cross-platform tookit
- Haxe Lang for high-level implementation
 - Similar to ECMAScript
- Haxe cross-compiler for target implementation
- XDM Implementation Type Mapping to Haxe Lang Interfaces
- Function Implementation Type Mapping to Haxe Lang Interfaces
 - Based on: XPath 3.0 *Function Call*
 - Based on: XQuery 3.0 *Function Declaration*



Haxe XDM Impl. Type Mapping

```
interface Item {
 public function stringValue() : xpdl.xdm.String;
interface AnyType {}
interface AnyAtomicType extends Item extends AnyType {}
class Boolean implements AnyAtomicType {
  var value: Bool;
  public function new(value) {
    this.value = value;
  public function stringValue() {
    return new xpdl.xdm.String(Std.string(value));
  public function haxe() {
    return value;
class String implements AnyAtomicType {
  var value: HString;
  public function new(value) {
    this.value = value;
  public function stringValue() {
    return this;
  public function haxe() {
    return value;
```



Haxe Function Implementation Type Mapping

```
interface Function {
    public function signature() : FunctionSignature;
    public function eval(arguments: Array<Argument>, context: Context) : Sequence;
class FunctionSignature {
    var name: OName;
    var returnType: SequenceType;
    var paramLists: Array<Array<Param>>;
    public function new(name, returnType, paramLists) {
        this.name = name:
        this.returnType = returnType;
        this.paramLists = paramLists;
```

https://github.com/exquery/xpdl-extension-lib



Proof-of-concept

- Implementation of EXPath File Module
 - Implemented in Haxe Lang
 - Coded to XDM Implementation Type Mapping Interfaces
- Focused on just file:exists function
 - file:exists(\$path as xs:string) as xs:boolean
 - Function Call Type + Function Implementation Type
 - xs:string
 - xs:boolean

• Status

 Runnable on <u>any</u> processor that supports Haxe Implementation Type Mapping



file:exists in Haxe

```
class ExistsFunction implements Function {
    private static var sig = new FunctionSignature(
        new QName("exists", FileModule.NAMESPACE, FileModule.PREFIX),
        new SequenceType(Some(new ItemOccurrence(Boolean))),
                new Param(new QName("path"),
                new SequenceType(Some(new ItemOccurrence(xpdl.xdm.Item.String))))
    );
    public function new() {}
    public function signature() {
        return sig;
    public function eval(arguments : Array<Argument>, context: Context) {
        var path = arguments[0].getArgument().iterator().next().stringValue().haxe();
        var exists = FileSystem.exists(path);
        return new ArraySequence( [ new Boolean(exists) ] );
```



Proof-of-concept: Processor

Added support to eXist

- Static mapping of Haxe XDM types
- Dynamic mapping of Haxe function call interfaces
 - Bytecode generation of classes and objects: cglib
- Currently ~300 lines of Java code

• Status

- <u>https://github.com/eXist-db/exist/tree/xpdl-extensions/src/org/exist/xpdl</u>
- Supports Haxe XDM Function Implementation Type Mapping
- Supports Haxe XDM Implementation Type Mapping



Conclusion

- Implement Once
- Cross-Compile and Compile <u>Once</u>
- Supports any processor
 - Requires Vendor to (*just once*) implement:
 - XDM Implementation Type Mapping
 - Function Implementation Type Mapping





